

	<b>केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)</b>	
सत्यमेव जयते	O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX, केंद्रीय कर भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पॉलिटेक्निक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015	7 <sup>th</sup> Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic, Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015
☎ : 079-26305065		टेलिफैक्स : 079 - 26305136

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)/209/Ahd-I/2017-18 / 2717-21  
Stay Appl.No. NA/2017-18
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-460-2017-18  
दिनांक Date : 28-03-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 19.04.18
- श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित  
Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST/DIV-VI/REF-25/17-18/277 दिनांक: 23/1/2018 issued by  
Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South
- घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent  
**Scarlet Prints LLP**  
**Ahmedabad**

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :  
**Revision application to Government of India :**

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :
- (ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- (ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ-20, न्यू मैन्टल हॉस्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघानी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

## ORDER IN APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s. Scarlet Prints LLP, 21-22, National Chambers, Nr. City Gold Cinema, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat [for short – ‘appellant’] against OIO No. CGST-VI/Ref 73/Scarlet Prints/17-18 dated 23.1.2018 passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division VI(Vastrapur), Ahmedabad South Commissionerate [for short – ‘adjudicating authority’].

2. Briefly, the facts are that the appellant, filed a refund claim of Rs. 52,01,015/- under notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, in respect of quarter January to March 2017. The refund application was filed on 08.08.2017. The adjudicating authority vide his letter dated 4.1.2018, raised some query and sought certain documents. The refund was subsequently rejected vide the impugned OIO dated 23.1.2018 on the following grounds:

- in the annexure the total FOB value was shown as Rs. 6,50,29,150/- while in the refund worksheet it was shown as Rs. 3,88,16,268/-;
- that the FOB value of Rs. 6.50 crore is nothing but cover up to show that they had realized more foreign remittance than the expenditure incurred by them;
- that the details shown by the appellant in Annexure C does not match with the details shown in their refund worksheet;
- that the appellant intentionally did not provide details to avoid detection of artificial inflation of courier charges to claim excess refund of service tax;
- that as per the balance sheet the appellant had incurred expenditure of Rs. 1.06 crore towards payment of service tax whereas total refund of service tax claimed was Rs. 1.55 crores which is on higher side.

3. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant has filed this appeal on the grounds that:

- there is no dispute that the goods have been exported and delivered to a foreign customer to a place outside India;
- that the export proceeds were realized in foreign convertible currency which can be verified from bank statement; that the total invoice value for export for January to March 2017 is Rs. 6,50,29,150/- and the same has been received in the bank account;
- the refund is in terms of notification No. 41/2012 which provides the format for refund claim sheet the format sheet requires mention of only FOB value and not invoice value ;
- that the service provider for courier service has raised invoice of Rs. 3.59 crores and service tax of Rs. 52,01,015/-;
- that the bank details were provided with the refund claims;
- that the refund claim filed is much higher than the service tax paid; that the actual service tax paid is Rs. 1,56,09,543/-;
- that substantive right cannot be denied for procedural violation;
- that they wish to rely on the case of Gujarat Organics [2014(314) ELT 981], NOV Sara India P Ltd [2014(313) ELT 898], Kei Industries Ltd [2014(313) ELT 895] , Essel Propack [201(312) ELT 946] and Ganesh Tiles and Marble Industries [2014(312) ELT 881].

4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 22.3.2018 wherein Shri Abhishek Chopra, CA, appeared on behalf of the appellant and reiterated the grounds of appeal. He further submitted that since no hearing was held the matter may be remanded back to the adjudicating authority.

5. I have gone through the facts of the case, the grounds of appeal and the oral submissions made during the course of personal hearing. The question to be decided is whether the appellant is eligible for refund or otherwise.



6. However, before moving to the matter, I find that the appellant has stated that no personal hearing was granted before deciding/rejecting the refund. On going through the impugned OIO, I find that there is no mention of personal hearing having been granted. The non grantal of personal hearing, is nothing but a contravention of the principles of natural justice.

7. Natural Justice recognizes three principles:

- (i) Nemo debet esse judex in propria causa – which means that means nobody shall be a judge in his own cause or in a cause in which he is interested;
- (ii) Audi alterem partem- which means —to hear the other side;
- (iii) Speaking orders or reasoned decisions.

8. CBEC vide its circular No. 1053/2/2017-CX., dated 10-3-2017, has further on the question of personal hearing has clarified as follows:


*14.3 Personal hearing : After having given a fair opportunity to the noticee for replying to the show cause notice, the adjudicating authority may proceed to fix a date and time for personal hearing in the case and request the assessee to appear before him for a personal hearing by himself or through an authorised representative. At least three opportunities of personal hearing should be given with sufficient interval of time so that the noticee may avail opportunity of being heard. Separate communications should be made to the noticee for each opportunity of personal hearing. In fact separate letter for each hearing/extension should be issued at sufficient interval. The Adjudicating authority may, if sufficient cause is shown, at any stage of proceeding adjourn the hearing for reasons to be recorded in writing. However, no such adjournment shall be granted more than three times to a noticee.*

9. I find merit in the request of the appellant that the matter needs to be remanded back since there is a violation of the principles of natural justice.

10. In view of the foregoing, the impugned OIO is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the adjudicating authority with a direction to decide the matter after according personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant is also directed to submit all the documents and replies to the query raised by the adjudicating authority, so as to enable him to decide the matter.

11. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

11. The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.





(उमा शंकर)

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date : 28.3.2018

Attested

  
(Vinod Lukose)  
Superintendent (Appeal),  
Central Tax, Ahmedabad.



By RPAD.

To,  
M/s. Scarlet Prints LLP,  
21-22, National Chambers, Nr. City Gold Cinema,  
Ashram Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone .
2. The Principal Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.
3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax, Division-VI(Vastrapur), Ahmedabad South Commissionerate
4. The Assistant Commissioner, System, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate
5. Guard File.
6. P.A.